IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

MONDAY, THE 20TH AUGUST 2007 / 29TH SRAVANA 1929

RP.No. 271 of 2005(E)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.25667/2004 Dated 04/01/2005

.....

PETITIONER/PETITIONER

TRAVANCORE KHADI AND VILLAGE
INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION, REPRESENTED BY
ITS PRESIDENT, NADAKKAVU, MAVELIKKARA,
ALAPPUZHA 690 101.

BY ADV. SRI.GOVIND K.BHARATHAN
SRI.MANU MOHAN

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS

---

1. KHADI AND VILLAGE INDUSTRIES
COMMISSION, REPRESENTED BY THE STATE DIRECTOR,
TRIVANDRUM.

2. THE GENERAL SECRETARY,
KERALA SARVODAYA SANGH, GANDHI ASHRAM,
CIVIL STATION P.O., CALICUT.

3. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ALAPPUZHA.

4. THE TAHSILDAR, TALUK OFFICER,
MAVELIKKARA.

R1 BY ADV. P. SANTHALINGAM

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 20/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR,J.

R.P. NO. 271 OF 2005 IN
W.P.C. NO. 25667 OF 2004

Dated this the 20th day of August, 2007

O R D E R

I do not find any ground to entertain the Review Petition because petitioner's contention is that first respondent supports petitioner's case. If first respondent supports petitioner's case, first respondent can discharge the liability. Recovery is to be made from the defaulter and it is for the recovery authority to verify as to who is the defaulter and recover the arrears from such person or guarantors. Petitioner can rely on terms of loan documents and raise objections before the recovery authority.

R.P. is closed with the above observations.

(C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR)
Judge